replaced version

arXiv:hep-th/9902038v4 17 Sep 2002

HARMONIC SUPERPOTENTIALS AND SYMMETRIES IN GAUGE THEORIES WITH EIGHT SUPERCHARGES

Boris Zupnik [1]

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
Dubna, Moscow Region, 141980, Russia;
e-mail: zupnik@thsun1.jinr.ru

Abstract

Models of interactions of D-dimensional hypermultiplets and supersymmetric gauge multiplets with $\mathcal{N}$=8 supercharges ($D\leq 6$) can be formulated in the framework of harmonic superspaces. The effective Coulomb low-energy action for D=5 includes the free and Chern-Simons terms. We consider also the non-Abelian superfield D=5 Chern-Simons action. The biharmonic D=3, $\mathcal{N}$=8 superspace is introduced for a description of l and r supermultiplets and the mirror symmetry. The D=2, $(4,4)$ gauge theory and hypermultiplet interactions are considered in the triharmonic superspace. Constraints for D=1, $\mathcal{N}$=8 supermultiplets are solved with the help of the $SU(2)\times Spin(5)$ harmonics. Effective gauge actions in the full $D\leq 3$, $\mathcal{N}$=8 superspaces contain constrained (harmonic) superpotentials satisfying the $(6-D)$ Laplace equations for the gauge group $U(1)$ or corresponding $(6-D)p$-dimensional equations for the gauge groups $[U(1)]^p$. Generalized harmonic representations of superpotentials connect equivalent superfield structures of these theories in the full and analytic superspaces. The harmonic approach simplifies the proofs of non-renormalization theorems.

PACS: 11.30.Pb; 11.15.Tk
Keywords: Harmonic superspace; Grassmann analyticity; Prepotential; Superpotential

---

[1] On leave of absence from the Institute of Applied Physics, Tashkent State University, Uzbekistan

1 Introduction

The harmonic superspace (HS) has firstly been introduced for the off-shell description of matter, gauge and supergravity superfield theories with the manifest $D{=}4, N_4{=}2$ supersymmetry [1, 2]. The $SU(2)/U(1)$ harmonics $u^\pm_i$ and corresponding harmonic derivatives ∂^{++}, ∂^{--} and ∂^0 are used for the consistent solution of the superfield constraints in the $N_4{=}2$ superspace. The basic relations for the harmonics are

$$[\partial^{++},\partial^{--}] = \partial^0\ , \quad [\partial^0,\partial^{\pm\pm}] = \pm 2\partial^{\pm\pm}\ , \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial^{++}\, u^+_i = 0, \quad \partial^{++}\, u^-_i = u^+_i, \quad \partial^0 u^\pm_i = \pm u^\pm_i\ , \tag{1.2}$$

$$\partial^{--}\, u^-_i = 0, \quad \partial^{--}\ \ u^+_i = u^-_i\ . \tag{1.3}$$

The HS approach has also been applied to consistently describe hypermultiplets and vector multiplet in $D{=}6, N_6{=}1$ supersymmetry [3, 4]. It is convenient to use the total number of supercharges $\mathcal{N}$ for the classification of all these models in different dimensions D instead of the number of spinor representations for supercharges N_D. Let us review briefly the basic aspects of the $D{=}6, \mathcal{N}{=}8$ harmonic gauge theory. The harmonics $u^\pm_i$ are used to construct the analytic $6D$ coordinates $\zeta{=}(\hat{x}^{\alpha\rho}_A,\ \theta^{\alpha+})$ and the additional spinor coordinate $\theta^{\alpha-}$, where $\alpha,\ \beta,\ \rho\ldots$ are the 4-spinor indices of the $(1,0)$ representation of the $Spin(5,1)$ group and $\theta^{\pm\alpha}{=}u^\pm_i\theta^{i\alpha}$. The harmonized spinor derivatives and harmonic derivatives have the following form in these coordinates:

$$D^+_\alpha = \partial^+_\alpha\ , \quad D^-_\alpha = -\partial^-_\alpha - i\theta^{\gamma-}\hat{\partial}_{\alpha\gamma}\ , \tag{1.4}$$

$$D^{++} = \partial^{++} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha+}\theta^{\gamma+}\hat{\partial}_{\alpha\gamma} + \theta^{\alpha+}\partial^+_\alpha\ , \tag{1.5}$$

$$D^{--} = \partial^{--} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha-}\theta^{\gamma-}\hat{\partial}_{\alpha\gamma} + \theta^{\alpha-}\partial^-_\alpha\ , \tag{1.6}$$

where $\hat{\partial}_{\alpha\gamma} = \partial/\partial\hat{x}^{\alpha\gamma}$.

The Grassmann analyticity condition in HS is $D^+_\alpha\,\omega{=}0$. Superfield constraints of $D{=}6\ \ SYM$ in the ordinary superspace (central basis or CB) are equivalent to the integrability conditions preserving this analyticity. The Yang-Mills prepotential $V^{++}(\zeta,u)$ in the analytic basis (AB) describes the $6D$ vector multiplet ($A_{\alpha\rho},\ \lambda^\alpha_i,\ X^{ik}$) and possesses the gauge transformation with the analytic matrix parameter $\lambda(\zeta,u)$

$$\delta_\lambda V^{++} = D^{++}\lambda + [V^{++},\lambda] = \nabla^{++}\lambda\ . \tag{1.7}$$

The action of the $D{=}6$ SYM theory has the form of integral over the full superspace [3]

$$S(V^{++}) = \frac{1}{g^2_6}\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n}\int d^6x d^8\theta du_1\ldots du_n \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\, V^{++}(z,u_1)\ldots V^{++}(z,u_n)}{(u^+_1 u^+_2)\ldots(u^+_n u^+_1)} \tag{1.8}$$

where g_6 is the coupling constant of dimension $d{=}1$. The harmonic distribution $1/(u^+_1u^+_2)$ [2] satisfies the relation

$$\partial^{++}_1\frac{1}{(u^+_1u^+_2)} = \delta^{(1,-1)}(u_1,u_2)\ , \tag{1.9}$$

where $\delta^{(1,-1)}$ is the harmonic δ-function.

The gauge variation of this action

$$\delta_\lambda S(V^{++}) = \frac{1}{g_6^2}\int d^6x d^8\theta du \mathrm{Tr}\, \nabla^{++}\lambda V^{--} = -\frac{1}{g_6^2}\int d^6x d^8\theta du \mathrm{Tr}\, \lambda D^{--}V^{++} = 0 \qquad (1.10)$$

vanishes due to the analyticity of the parameter and prepotential. We have used here the harmonic zero-curvature equation

$$D^{++}V^{--} - D^{--}V^{++} + [V^{++}, V^{--}] = 0 \ , \qquad (1.11)$$

where V^{--} is the connection for the harmonic derivative D^{--}. Note that the superfield density of the gauge actions in the full superspace is not invariant for any D in contrast to the chiral density of the D=4 gauge action.

Reality conditions for the harmonic connections include the special conjugation of harmonics preserving the $U(1)$-charges [1]

$$\widetilde{u_i^\pm} = u^{i\pm} \ , \qquad (V^{\pm\pm})^\dagger = -V^{\pm\pm} \ . \qquad (1.12)$$

The physical fields of the hypermultiplet f^i and ψ_α and the infinite number of auxiliary fields are components of the analytic $6D$ superfield $q^+(\zeta, u)$. The interaction of the hypermultiplet and gauge field can be written in the analytic superspace

$$S(q^+, V^{++}) = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \tilde{q}^+(D^{++} + V^{++})q^+ \ , \qquad (1.13)$$

where $d\zeta^{(-4)}$=$d^6x_A(D^-)^4$ is the analytic measure in HS.

Universality of harmonic superspaces is connected with the possibility of constructing $\mathcal{N}$=8 models in D<6 by a dimensional reduction. The HS analysis of the D=4 low-energy effective actions has been considered for the gauge superfields [7] and for the hypermultiplets [8]. The manifestly supersymmetric calculations in HS are in a good agreement with the basic ideas of the Seiberg-Witten theory [6], however, the HS geometry allows us to rewrite the chiral-superspace Coulomb action as the integral in the full superspace

$$S_4 = i\int d^4x d^4\theta \mathcal{F}(W) + \mathrm{c.c.} = \int d^4x d^8\theta du V^{++}V^{--}[F(W) + \mathrm{c.c.}] \ , \qquad (1.14)$$

where $F(W)$= $-\, iW^{-2}\mathcal{F}(W)$ is the holomorphic part of the superpotential in this representation and $W = (\bar{D}^+)^2V^{--}$. We have used the following decompositions of the chiral and full Grassmann measures in terms of the harmonized spinor derivatives:

$$d^8\theta = (D^+)^2(\bar{D}^+)^2(D^-)^2(\bar{D}^-)^2 \ , \qquad d^4\theta = (D^+)^2(D^-)^2 \ , \qquad (1.15)$$

where $(D^\pm)^2$=$(1/2)D^{\alpha\pm}D^\pm_\alpha$ and $(\bar{D}^\pm)^2$=$(1/2)\bar{D}^\pm_{\dot\alpha}\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha\pm}$.

It should be stressed that the superpotential $f(W, \bar{W})$=$[F(W) + \mathrm{c.c.}]$ in the full-superspace representation satisfies the constraints

$$D^+_\alpha \bar{D}^+_{\dot\alpha} f(W, \bar{W}) = 0 \ \rightarrow \ \partial_W \bar{\partial}_W f(W, \bar{W}) = 0 \ , \qquad (1.16)$$

which follow from the gauge invariance

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda S_4 &\sim \int d^4x d^8\theta \, du \, \lambda \, D^{--}V^{++}f(W, \bar{W}) \\ &\sim \int d^4x (D^-)^4 \, du \, \lambda \, \partial^{\alpha\dot\alpha}V^{++}D^+_\alpha \bar{D}^+_{\dot\alpha} f(W, \bar{W}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \qquad (1.17)$$

Representations of the action in the full, analytic and chiral superspaces are also important for the HS interpretation of the $4D$ electric-magnetic duality [9].

The holomorphic action S_4 can be reduced to lower dimensions, however, this reduction does not produce the general effective action. The $\mathcal{N}$=8 supersymmetries have some specific features for each dimension based on differences in the structure of Lorentz groups L_D, maximum automorphism groups R_D and the set of central charges Z_D. The main result of this work is a construction of the Coulomb effective actions for the dimensions D=1, 2, 3 and 5 in the full $\mathcal{N}$=8 superspace

$$S_D = \int d^D x\, d^8\theta\, du\, V^{++}V^{--} f_D(W) \ , \tag{1.18}$$

where $f_D(W)$ is the superpotential and W is the constrained $(6-D)$-component superfield strength for the $U(1)$ gauge prepotential V^{++}. The gauge invariance of this action implies the $(6-D)$-dimensional Laplace equation for the general superpotential

$$\Delta^w_{6-D} f_D(W) = 0 \ , \tag{1.19}$$

which generalizes the $2D$-Laplace equation (1.14). The $(6-D)$-harmonic solutions of this equation can be used for a description of non-perturbative solutions in the $\mathcal{N}$=8 gauge theories. We discuss harmonic-integral representations of the $D{\leq}3$ superpotentials which allow us to construct the equivalent analytic-superspace representations of S_D.

It should be remarked that the function f_D determines σ-model structures and interactions of the $(6-D)$-dimensional scalar field with fermion and vector fields.

Renormalization theorems in this approach are connected with the R_D-invariant solutions of Eq.(1.19)

$$f^R_D(w_D) = g^{-2}_D + k_D w^{D-4}_D \ , \qquad D \neq 4 \ , \tag{1.20}$$

where the invariant superfield w_D can be interpreted as a length in the $(6-D)$-moduli space, and g_D and k_D are coupling constants.

The effective actions of the $[U(1)]^p$ gauge theories are considered also by these methods. The matrix superpotentials of these theories satisfy the $(6-D)p$-dimensional Laplace-type equations which are evident for D=4 and 5, and also for the $D{\leq}3$ superpotentials in the harmonic-integral representations. The harmonic structures of moduli spaces for the $D{\leq}3$, $\mathcal{N}$=8 theories arising in connection with the equations for superpotentials generalize the original $SU(2)$-harmonic structure of the $D{\geq}4, \mathcal{N}$=8 theories. These structures are necessary to classify various $D{\leq}3$ supermultiplets. All alternative Grassmann analyticities are compatible with basic supersymmetries and reflect the rich HS geometry of these supersymmetric theories.

Sect.2 is devoted to the 5-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories. The HS approach is natural for the perturbative and nonperturbative analysis of these theories. The unique effective Abelian action of the D=5 theory in HS contains the free terms and the cubic Chern-Simons terms. This uniqueness is the symmetry basis of the quantum stability of these theories. We also construct the non-Abelian superfield Chern-Simons term.

In Sect.3, we consider the biharmonic superspace (BHS) using harmonics of the automorphism group $SU_l(2){\times}SU_r(2)$ in the D=3, $\mathcal{N}$=8 models. The 3-dimensional l-vector multiplet can be described in terms of the $SU_l(2)$ harmonics, however, the $SU_r(2)$ harmonics arise in the integral representation of the general D=3 low-energy superpotential

f_3. The Grassmann r-analyticity generalizes the holomorphicity in the HS description of the $3D$ low-energy actions. The l-analytic gauge prepotentials and hypermultiplets have their mirror partners in the r-analytic superspace.

The $D{=}2$, $(4,4)$ models in the triharmonic $SU_c(2)\times SU_l(2)\times SU_r(2)$ superspace (THS) [31, 32, 33] are discussed in Sect.4. We underline the importance of the (4,4) gauge theory and derive the formula for the effective action in the full superspace using the $SU_c(2)$ harmonics. The integral representation of the $D{=}2$ superpotential f_2 in the $SU_l(2)\times SU_r(2)$ harmonic space contains the rl-analytic function of the primary analytic superfield. The full-superspace effective action is equivalent to the action in the rl-analytic superspace. The c-, l- and r-analytic superspaces are convenient in classifying the (4,4) representations and duality relations.

The one-dimensional models with 8 supercharges are used in Matrix theory describing the D0-D4 brane interactions. These models have been intensively studied in the field-component formalism and the $\mathcal{N}{=}4$ superspace. An adequate superfield description of the $D{=}1, \mathcal{N}{=}8$ theories requires the use of harmonics for the automorphism group $R_1{=}SU_c(2)\times Spin(5)$. We define the corresponding BHS gauge and hypermultiplet models in Sect.5.

Problems of the $\mathcal{N}{=}8$ gauge theories have earlier been discussed in the framework of the component-field formalism or the formalism with $\mathcal{N}{=}4$, $D{=}1,2,3$ superfields (see e.g.[12, 14, 36, 41]). In particular, the $(6{-}D)$ Laplace equations have been considered in the $\mathcal{N}{=}4$ superfield formalism of the $\mathcal{N}{=}8$ gauge theories and in the formalism of the corresponding σ-models. Nevertheless, it should be stressed that the manifestly covariant HS approach provides the most adequate and universal methods to solve the problems of the $\mathcal{N}{=}8$ theories in all dimensions. A short discussion of these ideas has also been presented in [10].

It should be remarked that the general mathematical formalism for low-dimensional harmonic superspaces has been considered in ref. [11]. This approach treats HS as homogeneous spaces of corresponding complex superconformal groups. We do not discuss the superconformal transformations in this paper and use harmonic variables to find covariant separations of the spinor coordinates in the superfield theories. Our formulations of different harmonic superspaces are connected with the alternative off-shell representations of low-dimensional supermultiplets, their interactions and duality relations.

2 Five-dimensional harmonic gauge theories

The consistent non-anomalous five-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories have been discussed in refs.[12, 13]. The Coulomb phase of these theories contains the cubic $5D$ Chern-Simons terms for the gauge fields and cubic interaction of the scalar fields. We shall consider the $D{=}5$, $\mathcal{N}{=}8$ HS-formalism which is very natural for the perturbative and nonperturbative analysis of quantum problems in these theories.

Let us consider firstly the harmonic superspace with the $D{=}5$, $\mathcal{N}{=}8$ supersymmetry. The general five-dimensional superspace has the coordinates $z{=}(x^{\mathbf{m}},\ \theta^{\alpha}_{i})$, where $\mathbf{m}$ and α are the 5-vector and 4-spinor indices of the Lorentz group $L_5{=}SO(4,1)$, respectively, and i is the 2-spinor index of the automorphism group $R_5{=}SU(2)$. The spinors of L_5 are equivalent to the pair of the $SL(2,C)$ spinors: $\Psi^{\alpha}{=}(\psi^{\alpha},\ \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}})$.

The invariant symplectic matrices $\Omega_{\alpha\rho}$ and $\Omega^{\alpha\rho}$ can be constructed in terms of the

$SL(2,C)$ ε-symbols

$$\Omega_{\alpha\rho}=\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon_{\alpha\rho} & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\rho}\end{pmatrix}\,,\qquad \Omega_{\alpha\rho}\Omega^{\rho\sigma}=\delta^\sigma_\alpha\;. \tag{2.1}$$

These matrices connect spinors with low and upper indices.

The antisymmetric traceless representation of the Γ-matrices contains the $4D$ Weyl matrices σ_m and ε-symbols

$$(\Gamma_m)_{\alpha\beta}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & (\sigma_m)_{\alpha\dot\beta}\\ -(\sigma_m)_{\beta\dot\alpha} & 0\end{pmatrix}\,,\quad (\Gamma_4)_{\alpha\beta}=\begin{pmatrix}i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} & 0\\ 0 & -i\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\end{pmatrix}\;. \tag{2.2}$$

The corresponding representation of the $5D$ Clifford algebra has the following form:

$$(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}})_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{n}})^{\beta\gamma}+(\Gamma_{\mathbf{n}})_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}})^{\beta\gamma}=-2\delta^\gamma_\alpha\eta_{\mathbf{mn}}\;, \tag{2.3}$$

where $(\Gamma_{\mathbf{n}})^{\beta\gamma}=\Omega^{\beta\rho}\Omega^{\gamma\sigma}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{n}})_{\rho\sigma}$ and $\eta_{\mathbf{mn}}$ is the metric of the (4,1) space.

The 5-vector projector in the spinor space is

$$(\Pi_5)^{\alpha\gamma}_{\rho\sigma}=\frac{1}{4}(\Gamma^{\mathbf{m}})^{\alpha\gamma}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}})_{\rho\sigma}=\frac{1}{2}(\delta^\alpha_\rho\delta^\gamma_\sigma-\delta^\alpha_\sigma\delta^\gamma_\rho)+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{\rho\sigma}\Omega^{\alpha\gamma}\;. \tag{2.4}$$

Consider also the relations between the antisymmetric 4-spinor symbol $\mathcal{E}$ and the matrices Ω and Γ

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\rho\mu\nu}=\Omega_{\alpha\rho}\Omega_{\mu\nu}+\Omega_{\alpha\mu}\Omega_{\nu\rho}+\Omega_{\alpha\nu}\Omega_{\rho\mu}=-\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{\mathbf{m}})_{\alpha\rho}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\rho}\Omega_{\mu\nu}\;. \tag{2.5}$$

It is convenient to use the bispinor representation of the $5D$ coordinates and partial derivatives

$$x^{\alpha\rho}=\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}})^{\alpha\rho}x^{\mathbf{m}}\;,\quad \partial_{\alpha\rho}=\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{\mathbf{m}})_{\alpha\rho}\partial_{\mathbf{m}}\;. \tag{2.6}$$

The C-conjugation rules for the $Spin(4,1)$ objects are similar to the corresponding rules for (1,0) spinors in the $6D$ space

$$\overline{\theta}{}^\alpha_i\equiv\varepsilon_{ik}C^\alpha_\gamma(\theta^\gamma_k)^*=\theta^\alpha_i\;,\quad (C^2)^\alpha_\gamma=-\delta^\alpha_\gamma\;, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\overline{\Omega}_{\alpha\rho}=-\Omega_{\alpha\rho}\;,\quad \overline{x}^{\alpha\rho}=x^{\alpha\rho}\;,\quad \overline{\partial}_{\alpha\rho}=-\partial_{\alpha\rho}\;. \tag{2.8}$$

The basic relations between the spinor derivatives of the $D{=}5,\mathcal{N}{=}8$ superspace have the following form:

$$\{D^k_\alpha\;,\;D^l_\gamma\}=i\varepsilon^{kl}(\partial_{\alpha\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}Z)\;, \tag{2.9}$$

where Z is the real central charge. We shall consider the basic superspace with $Z{=}0$ and introduce the central charges via the interaction of gauge superfields satisfying the constraints

$$\{\nabla^k_\alpha\;,\;\nabla^l_\gamma\}=i\varepsilon^{kl}(\nabla_{\alpha\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}W)\;, \tag{2.10}$$

where W is the real superfield.

The spinor $SU(2)/U(1)$ harmonics $u^\pm_i$ can be used to construct the R_5-invariant HS coordinates $\zeta{=}(x^{\mathbf{m}}_{A},\ \theta^{\alpha+})$, $\theta^{\alpha-}$, spinor derivatives $D^\pm_\alpha$ and harmonic derivatives by analogy with Eqs.(1.4-1.6)

$$D^+_\alpha = \partial^+_\alpha\ ,\quad D^-_\alpha = -\partial^-_\alpha - i\theta^{\gamma-}\partial_{\alpha\gamma}\ , \tag{2.11}$$

$$D^{++} = \partial^{++} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha+}\theta^{\gamma+}\partial_{\alpha\gamma} + \theta^{\alpha+}\partial^+_\alpha\ . \tag{2.12}$$

We shall use the following notation for degrees of the spinor derivatives:

$$D^{(\pm2)} = \frac{1}{4}D^{\alpha\pm}D^\pm_\alpha\ ,\quad D^{(\pm2)}_{\alpha\gamma} = (\Pi_5)^{\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\gamma}D^\pm_\rho D^\pm_\sigma\ , \tag{2.13}$$

$$D^{(\pm3)}_\alpha = D^\pm_\alpha D^{(\pm2)}\ ,\quad D^{(\pm4)} = 2D^{(\pm2)}D^{(\pm2)} \tag{2.14}$$

and the important identities

$$D^{(+2)}D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\gamma} = 0\ ,\qquad D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\gamma}D^{(+2)}_{\rho\sigma} = -2(\Pi_5)_{\alpha\gamma,\rho\sigma}D^{(+4)}\ , \tag{2.15}$$

$$D^{(+4)}D^{--}D^{--}D^{(+4)} = -2\partial^{\mathbf{m}}\partial_{\mathbf{m}}D^{(+4)}\ . \tag{2.16}$$

The analytic Abelian prepotential $V^{++}(\zeta,u)$ describes the $5D$ vector supermultiplet. In the WZ-gauge, this harmonic superfield contains the real scalar field Φ, the Maxwell field $A_{\mathbf{m}}$, the isodoublet of spinors λ^α_i and the auxiliary isotriplet X^{ik}

$$\begin{aligned} V^{++}_{WZ} &= i\Theta^{(+2)}\Phi(x_A) + \Theta^{(+2)\alpha\rho}A_{\alpha\rho}(x_A) \\ &+\Theta^{(+2)}\theta^{\alpha+}u^-_i\lambda^i_\alpha(x_A) + i[\Theta^{(+2)}]^2u^-_ku^-_jX^{kj}(x_A)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\Theta^{(+2)} = \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\alpha+}\theta^+_\alpha\ ,\qquad \Theta^{(+2)\alpha\rho} = (\Pi_5)^{\alpha\rho}_{\mu\nu}\theta^{\mu+}\theta^{\nu+}\ . \tag{2.18}$$

The superfield strength of this theory can be written in terms of the harmonic connection $V^{--}(V^{++})$ (see Eqs. (1.11) and (2.29))

$$W_A = -2iD^{(+2)}V^{--}\ ,\qquad W^\dagger_A = W_A\ . \tag{2.19}$$

This superfield satisfies the following constraints:

$$\nabla^{++}W_A = D^{++}W_A + [V^{++},W_A] = 0\ , \tag{2.20}$$

$$D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\rho}W_A = 0\ , \tag{2.21}$$

where the relations (1.11) and (2.15) are used. The Abelian superfield $W_A{=}W$ does not depend on harmonics.

The $5D$ SYM action has the universal form (1.8) in the full harmonic superspace. The SYM equations $D^{(+4)}V^{--}{=}0$ have the vacuum Abelian solution $v^{\pm\pm}{=}i\Theta^{(\pm2)}Z$ where Z is the linear combination of the Cartan generators of the gauge group (see the analogous $D{=}4$ solution in ref.[8]). This vacuum solution spontaneously breaks the gauge symmetry, but it conserves the $D{=}5$ supersymmetry with the central charge and produces BPS masses of the Z-charged fields. The harmonic supergraphs of this theory can be constructed by the analogy with refs.[2, 3]. We do not analyze here the one-loop contribution to the low-energy effective action of this non-renormalizable theory, however, it is not difficult to consider the general symmetric framework for the description of such actions in HS.

Chiral superspaces are not Lorentz-covariant in the case D=5, so one can use the full or analytic superspaces only. It is readily to construct the most general low-energy effective $U(1)$-gauge action in the full $\mathcal{N}$=8 harmonic superspace

$$S_5 = \int d^5x d^8\theta du\, V^{++}V^{--}[g_5^{-2} + k_5 W] \ , \tag{2.22}$$

where g_5 is the coupling constant of dimension 1/2, and k is the dimensionless constant of the $5D$ Chern-Simons interaction. Note that the next-to-leading order effective Abelian $5D$ action can be written via the manifestly gauge invariant function H(W), but we do not consider these terms.

The linear superpotential f_5=$g_5^{-2} + k_5 W$ is a solution of the constraints

$$D^{\pm\pm} f_5 = 0 \ , \qquad D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\rho} f_5 = 0 \ , \tag{2.23}$$

which arise from the gauge invariance of S_5.

It is evident in the HS approach that the unique effective action f_5 cannot be renormalized by any consistent calculations preserving the supersymmetry and $U(1)$-gauge symmetry.

Note that the R_5 invariance of the effective action can be broken by the Fayet-Iliopoulos term in the analytic superspace

$$S_{FI} = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du\, \xi^{ik} u^+_i u^+_k V^{++} \ , \tag{2.24}$$

which implies also the spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry.

The gauge-invariant Chern-Simons term for the group $[U(1)]^p$ contains the following cubic interactions of the Abelian prepotentials V^{++}_B and corresponding constrained superfields V^{--}_B and W_B

$$\int d^5x d^8\theta du\, k_{BCD} V^{++}_B V^{--}_C W_D \ , \tag{2.25}$$

where k_{BCD} are coupling constants and B, C, D=$1 \ldots p$.

It is not difficult to construct the non-Abelian $5D$ Chern-Simons term S^5_{CS} starting from the following formula of its variation [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S^5_{CS} &= k_5 \int d^5x d^8\theta du\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \delta V^{++}\{V^{--},\ D^{(+2)}V^{--}\} \\ &= k_5 \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \delta V^{++} D^{(+4)}\{V^{--}, D^{(+2)}V^{--}\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

which guarantees the gauge invariance taking into account Eqs. (1.7,1.11,2.20) and (2.21)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda S^5_{CS} &= k_5 \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \lambda D^{(+4)}\{D^{(+2)}V^{--}, \nabla^{++}V^{--}\} \\ &= k_5 \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \lambda D^{(+4)}\{D^{(+2)}V^{--}, D^{--}V^{++}\} = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Stress that the analogous term with $[V^{--}, D^{(+2)}V^{--}]$ in δS^5_{CS} vanishes as an integral of the total spinor derivative.

---

[2] See Note added in the replaced version.

The scale-invariant non-Abelian action S^5_{CS} has the following form:

$$S^5_{CS} = \frac{k_5}{3}\int d^5x d^8\theta du \,\mathrm{Tr}\, V^{++}\{V^{--}_1(V^{++}),\; D^{(+2)}V^{--}_1(V^{++})\} + \ldots \tag{2.28}$$

where higher-order terms are omitted and the linear approximation of the perturbative solution for V^{--} [5] is used

$$V^{--}_1(V^{++}) = \int du_1 \frac{V^{++}(z,u_1)}{(u^+u^+_1)^2}\ . \tag{2.29}$$

3 Three-dimensional biharmonic superspace

Three-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories have been intensively studied in the framework of new nonperturbative methods [14, 15, 16]. Superfield description of the D=3 theories and various applications have earlier been discussed in refs. [17]-[21]. Three-dimensional harmonic superspaces were considered in refs.[22, 23]. The most interesting features of the D=3 theories are connected with the Chern-Simons terms for gauge fields and also with the mirror symmetry between vector multiplets and hypermultiplets.

The D=3, $\mathcal{N}$=8 gauge theory can be constructed in the superspace with the automorphism group R_3=$SU_l(2)\times SU_r(2)$. Coordinates of the corresponding general superspace are z=$(x^{\alpha\beta},\ \theta^\alpha_{ia})$. We use here the two-component indices $\alpha,\ \beta\ldots$ for the space-time group $SL(2,R)$, $i,\ k\ldots$ for the group $SU_l(2)$ and $a,\ b\ldots$ for $SU_r(2)$, respectively.

The relations between basic spinor derivatives are

$$\{D^{ka}_\alpha, D^{lb}_\beta\} = i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon^{ab}\partial_{\alpha\beta} + i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}Z^{ab}\ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $\partial_{\alpha\beta}$=$\partial/\partial x^{\alpha\beta}$ and Z^{ab} are the central charges which commute with all generators exept for the generators of $SU_r(2)$. These central charges can be interpreted as covariantly constant Abelian gauge superfields by analogy with [8].

The superfield constraints of the $\mathcal{N}$=8 SYM theory in the central basis can be written as follows:

$$\{\nabla^{ka}_\alpha, \nabla^{lb}_\beta\} = i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon^{ab}\nabla_{\alpha\beta} + i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{kl}W^{ab}\ , \tag{3.2}$$

where ∇_M are covariant derivatives with superfield connections and W^{ab} is the constrained superfield of the SYM theory (l-vector supermultiplet)

$$\nabla^{ka}_\alpha W^{bc} + \nabla^{kb}_\alpha W^{ca} + \nabla^{kc}_\alpha W^{ab} = 0\ . \tag{3.3}$$

Note that gauge transformations in CB have the standard form

$$\delta\nabla^{ka}_\alpha = [\tau(z), \nabla^{ka}_\alpha]\ , \qquad \delta W^{ab} = [\tau(z), W^{ab}]\ , \tag{3.4}$$

where $\tau(z)$ is the matrix gauge parameter.

The simplest constraints of the l-hypermultiplet are

$$\nabla^{ia}_\alpha q^k + \nabla^{ka}_\alpha q^i = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

It is evident that one can consider the mirror r-versions of superfield constraints for the vector multiplet and hypermultiplets changing the roles of $SU_l(2)$ and $SU_r(2)$ indices

$$\nabla^{ia}_\alpha W^{kl}_r + \nabla^{ka}_\alpha W^{li}_r + \nabla^{la}_\alpha W^{ik}_r = 0\ , \tag{3.6}$$

$$\nabla^{ia}_\alpha q^b_r + \nabla^{ib}_\alpha q^a_r = 0\ . \tag{3.7}$$

We shall define the general $3D$ biharmonic superspace which has simple properties with respect to the exchange $l \leftrightarrow r$. The mirror symmetry connects l-vector multiplets with r-hypermultiplets and vice versa.

Let us consider the l-harmonics $u^\pm_i \equiv u^{(\pm1,0)}_i$ of the group $SU_l(2)$ and the analogous r-harmonics $v^{(0,\pm1)}_a$ of the group $SU_r(2)$. The notation of charges in BHS is (q_1, q_2). The constraints of the l-vector multiplet and l-hypermultiplet can be solved with the help of the l-harmonics only, so we shall use also the notation with the one charge for the l-harmonic superspace HS_l which is analogous to the $4D$ HS. The r-harmonic structures arise in the geometric description of the low-energy self-interaction of the l-vector multiplets and dualities between l- and r-type supermultiplets.

The spinor and l-harmonic derivatives have the following form in the l-analytic coordinates $\zeta_l{=}(x^{\alpha\beta}_l, \theta^{\alpha+}_a)$ and $\theta^{\alpha-}_a$:

$$D^{b+}_\alpha = u^+_i D^{ib}_\alpha = \partial^{b+}_\alpha \ , \quad D^{b-}_\alpha = u^-_i D^{ib}_\alpha = -\partial^{b-}_\alpha + i\theta^{\beta b-}\partial^l_{\alpha\beta} \ , \tag{3.8}$$

$$D^{++}_l = \partial^{++}_l - \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha+}_a \theta^{\beta a+}\partial^l_{\alpha\beta} + \theta^{\alpha+}_a \partial^{a+}_\alpha \ . \tag{3.9}$$

The following relations will be used in HS_l :

$$\{D^{a+}_\alpha,\ D^{b-}_\beta\} = -i\varepsilon^{ab}\partial^l_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [D^{--},\ D^{a+}_\alpha] = D^{a-}_\alpha \ , \tag{3.10}$$

$$D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\beta} D^{ab(+2)} = 0 \ , \qquad D^{(+2)}_{ab} D^{(+2)}_{cd} = (\varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd} + \varepsilon_{bc}\varepsilon_{ad})(D^+)^4 \ , \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} D^{+a}_\alpha D^+_{a\beta} \ , \qquad D^{ab(+2)} = \frac{1}{2} D^{a\alpha+} D^{b+}_\alpha \ . \tag{3.12}$$

The l-harmonic superspace is adequate to the solution of the constraints (3.2)

$$u^+_i u^+_k \{\nabla^{ia}_\alpha, \nabla^{kb}_\beta\} \equiv \{\nabla^{a+}_\alpha, \nabla^{b+}_\beta\} = 0 \ , \tag{3.13}$$

$$\nabla^{a+}_\alpha = g^{-1}(z,u) D^{a+}_\alpha g(z,u) \ , \tag{3.14}$$

where $g(z,u)$ is the bridge matrix [1]. The l-analytic prepotential of the SYM theory is

$$V^{++}_l(\zeta_l, u) = (D^{++}g)g^{-1} \ , \qquad D^{a+}_\alpha V^{++}_l = 0 \ , \tag{3.15}$$

$$\delta g = \lambda g - g\tau(z) \ , \qquad \delta_\lambda V^{++}_l = D^{++}\lambda + [V^{++}_l, \lambda] \ . \tag{3.16}$$

The components of this superfield can be determined in the WZ gauge

$$\begin{aligned}(V^{++}_l)_{WZ} &= \theta^{\alpha a+}\theta^{b+}_\alpha \Phi_{ab}(x_l) + \theta^{\alpha a+}\theta^{\beta+}_a A_{\alpha\beta}(x_l) \\ &+\theta^{\alpha a+}\theta^{b+}_\alpha \theta^{\beta+}_b u^-_k \lambda^k_{a\beta}(x_l) + i(\theta^+)^4 u^-_k u^-_j X^{kj}(x_l) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

The superfield strength of the $D{=}3, \mathcal{N}{=}8$ gauge theory in the analytic basis contains the corresponding harmonic connection $V^{--}_l(V^{++}_l)$

$$W^{ab}_A = -iD^{ab(+2)}V^{--}_l = gW^{ab}g^{-1} \ , \tag{3.18}$$

$$\delta_\lambda W^{ab}_A = [\lambda,\ W^{ab}_A] \ , \qquad \delta_\lambda V^{--}_l = \nabla^{--}\lambda \ . \tag{3.19}$$

It satisfies the following constraints:

$$D^{a+}_\alpha W^{bc}_A + D^{b+}_\alpha W^{ca}_A + D^{c+}_\alpha W^{ab}_A = 0 \ , \ \Rightarrow \ D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\beta} W^{bc}_A = 0 \ , \tag{3.20}$$

$$D^{\pm\pm} W^{ab}_A + [V^{\pm\pm}_l, W^{ab}_A] = 0 \ . \tag{3.21}$$

which are equivalent to the CB-constraints (3.3).

The Abelian superfield $W^{ab}_A \equiv W^{ab}$ does not depend on harmonics ($D^{\pm\pm}W^{ab} = 0$). The vacuum Abelian solution of the SYM theory

$$V^{\pm\pm}_0 \equiv v^{\pm\pm} = i\theta^{a\alpha\pm}\theta^{b\pm}_{\alpha} Z_{ab}\ , \qquad (D^+)^4 V^{--}_0 \sim D^{(+2)}_{ab} W^{ab}_0 = 0 \tag{3.22}$$

is covariant with respect to the supersymmetry with central charges Z_{ab} by analogy with the case D=4 [8].

The l-analytic hypermultiplet $q^+(\zeta_l, u) \equiv q^{(1,0)}$ has the standard minimal interaction with $V^{++}_l \equiv V^{(2,0)}$ (see (1.13)). By analogy with refs.[3, 8], one can construct the free HS propagator for this superfield in the covariantly constant background (3.22)

$$i\langle q^+(1)|\bar{q}^+(2)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\Box^Z_1}(D^+_1)^4(D^+_2)^4 e^{(v_2 - v_1)}\delta^{11}(z_1 - z_2)\frac{1}{(u^+_1 u^+_2)^3}\ , \tag{3.23}$$

where $\Box^Z = \partial^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta} + Z^{ab}Z_{ab}$ and $D^{++}v = v^{++}$. The manifestly supersymmetric perturbation theory is the important advantage of the HS approach.

One can consider also the alternative $3D$ hypermultiplet $\omega_l(\zeta_l, u)$ and the l-linear multiplet $L^{(2,0)}(\zeta_l, u)$ satisfying the harmonic condition $D^{(2,0)}_l L^{(2,0)}$=0.

We do not discuss here the harmonic-supergraph calculations of the perturbative effective action and consider the general symmetry framework for these constructions in the full l-harmonic superspace. The low-energy $U(1)$ effective action can be expressed in terms of the superpotential $f(W^{ab})$ which does not depend on $u^\pm$

$$S_3 = \int d^3x d^8\theta du\ V^{++}_l V^{--}_l f_3(W^{ab})\ . \tag{3.24}$$

The corresponding bosonic-field Lagrangian contains the nonlinear σ-model interaction of the 3-component scalar field Φ_{ab} (3.17) and the non-minimal interaction of this field with the Abelian gauge field. All interactions of the field Φ_{ab} are determined via derivatives of the function $f_3(\Phi_{ab})$.

The gauge invariance produces the following constraint :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda S_3 &= -2\int d^3x d^8\theta du \lambda D^{--}V^{++}_l f_3(W^{ab}) \\ &\sim \int d^3x (D^-)^4 du \lambda \partial^{\alpha\beta} V^{++}_l D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\beta} f_3(W^{ab}) = 0\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where the analyticity of V^{++}_l and relations (1.11) and $d^8\theta = (D^-)^4(D^+)^4$ are used.

This constraint on the superpotential is equivalent to the $3D$ Laplace equation

$$D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\beta} f_3(W^{ab}) = 0\ \rightarrow\ \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ab}} f_3(W^{ab}) = 0\ . \tag{3.26}$$

The general solution of this equation breaks the $SU_r(2)$ invariance. The R_3-invariant superpotential has the following form:

$$f^R_3(w_3) = g^{-2}_3 + k_3 w^{-1}_3\ , \qquad w_3 = \sqrt{W^{ab}W_{ab}}\ , \tag{3.27}$$

where g_3 is the coupling constant of dimension $d = -1/2$, and k_3 is the dimensionless constant of the $\mathcal{N}$=8 $WZNW$-type interaction of the vector multiplet. This superpotential is singular at the point Z_{ab}=0 of the moduli space. The field model is well defined in the shifted variables $\hat{W}_{ab}{=}W_{ab} - Z_{ab}$ for nonvanishing central charges. It should be remarked that the superfield interactions of the $3D$-vector multiplets with dimensionless constants (Chern-Simons terms) have earlier been constructed for the case $\mathcal{N}{=}4$ [19] and $\mathcal{N}{=}6$ [22, 23].

The effective action (3.27) is an example of the $D{=}3$ non-perturbative calculation based on the $\mathcal{N}{=}8$ supersymmetry and the R_3-invariance. Stress that the HS approach simplifies the proof of this non-renormalization theorem.

The general solution of Eq. (3.26) can be written in the v-integral harmonic representation

$$f_3(W^{ab}) = \int dv F_3[W^{(0,2)},\ v_a^{(0,\pm1)}] \ , \qquad W^{(0,2)} = v_a^{(0,1)} v_b^{(0,1)} W^{ab} \ , \tag{3.28}$$

where F_3 is an arbitrary function with $q{=}(0,0)$, and $W^{(0,2)}$ is the r-harmonic projection of the basic superfield. The proof is based on the following r-harmonic representation of the Laplace operator:

$$\varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{cd}} \ \sim\ \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,2)}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,-2)}} - \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,0)}}\Big)^2 \ , \tag{3.29}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ac} = v_a^{(0,1)} v_c^{(0,-1)} - v_c^{(0,1)} v_a^{(0,-1)} \ . \tag{3.30}$$

This solution is a covariant form of the well-known integral representation of the $3D$-harmonic functions [24]. The functions F_3 do not depend on the projections $W^{(0,-2)}$ and $W^{(0,0)}$ of the superfield W^{ab} while the holomorphic functions $F(W_{11})$ of the chiral superfield W_{11} are independent of the components W_{22} and W_{12}. The v-integral representation of $F(W_{11})$ can depend on the 1-st harmonic component $v_1^{(0,\pm1)}$ only, so the representation (3.28) is more general than the holomorphic representation of superpotential. We shall show that the function F_3 as well as the superfield $W^{(0,2)}$ satisfy the condition of the Grassmann r-analyticity.

Let us introduce the following definitions and relations for the spinor derivatives in the biharmonic superspace:

$$D_\alpha^{(\pm1,\pm1)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0)} v_a^{(0,\pm1)} D_\alpha^{ia} \ , \qquad D^{(\pm2,\pm2)} = D^{(\pm1,\pm1)\alpha} D_\alpha^{(\pm1,\pm1)} \ , \tag{3.31}$$

$$[D_l^{(\pm2,0)}, D_\alpha^{(\mp1,\pm1)}] = D_\alpha^{(\pm1,\pm1)} \ , \qquad [D_r^{(0,\pm2)}, D_\alpha^{(\pm1,\mp1)}] = D_\alpha^{(\pm1,\pm1)} \ , \tag{3.32}$$

$$[D_l^{(\pm2,0)}, D_\alpha^{(\pm1,\pm1)}] = [D_r^{(0,\pm2)}, D_\alpha^{(\pm1,\pm1)}] = 0 \ , \tag{3.33}$$

$$D^{(\pm4,0)} = D^{(\pm2,2)} D^{(\pm2,-2)} \ , \qquad D^{(0,\pm4)} = D^{(2,\pm2)} D^{(-2,\pm2)} \ . \tag{3.34}$$

Introduce the r-analytic coordinates

$$x_r^{\alpha\beta} = x^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{i}{2}[\theta_k^{\alpha(0,1)}\theta^{\beta k(0,-1)} + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)] \ , \tag{3.35}$$

$$\theta_\alpha^{k(0,\pm1)} = v_a^{(0,\pm1)}\theta_\alpha^{ka} \ . \tag{3.36}$$

These coordinates are natural for the r-analytic superfields $\Phi_r(x_r^{\alpha\beta}, \theta_\alpha^{k(0,1)}, v_a^{(0,\pm1)})$ which describe the alternative representations of the $D{=}3, \mathcal{N}{=}8$ supersymmetry.

The spinor and harmonic derivatives in these coordinates have the following form:

$$D_\alpha^{k(0,1)} = v_a^{(0,1)} D_\alpha^{ka} = \partial_\alpha^{k(0,1)} \ , \tag{3.37}$$

$$D_\alpha^{k(0,-1)} = v_a^{(0,-1)} D_\alpha^{ka} = -\partial_\alpha^{k(0,-1)} + i\theta^{\beta k(0,-1)}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^r \ , \tag{3.38}$$

$$D_r^{(0,2)} = \partial_r^{(0,2)} - \frac{i}{2}\theta_k^{\alpha(0,1)}\theta^{k\beta(0,1)}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^r + \theta_k^{\alpha(0,1)}\partial_\alpha^{k(0,1)} \ . \tag{3.39}$$

The constraints (3.20) are equivalent to the r-analyticity condition

$$D^{k(0,1)}_{\alpha} W^{(0,2)}(\zeta_r, v) = 0 \tag{3.40}$$

and the following r-harmonic conditions:

$$D^{(0,2)}_{r} W^{(0,2)} = 0 \; . \tag{3.41}$$

One can consider the component decomposition of this representation of the l-vector multiplet which is equivalent to *the r-linear analytic multiplet*

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(0,2)}(\zeta_r, v) = v^{(0,1)}_{a} v^{(0,1)}_{b} \Phi^{ab}(x_r) + \theta^{\alpha(0,1)}_{k} v^{(0,1)}_{a} \lambda^{ka}_{\alpha}(x_r) \\ +\theta^{\alpha(0,1)}_{k} \theta^{(0,1)}_{l\alpha} X^{kl}(x_r) + \theta^{\alpha(0,1)}_{k} \theta^{\beta k(0,1)}[F_{\alpha\beta} + \tfrac{i}{2}\partial^{r}_{\alpha\beta}\Phi^{ab} v^{(0,1)}_{a} v^{(0,-1)}_{b}] + O[(\theta^{(0,1)}_{k})^3] \; , \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

where $F_{\alpha\beta}$ is the transversal field-strength of the Abelian gauge field.

The constraints on $W^{(0,2)}$ are evident in the BHS representation

$$W^{(0,2)} = -iD^{(2,2)} V^{(-2,0)}_{l} = -i \int du D^{(-2,2)} V^{(2,0)}_{l} \; . \tag{3.43}$$

It is clear that this representation of the l-vector multiplet is equivalent to the representation (3.18).

Consider the r-harmonic decomposition of the full spinor measure

$$d^8\theta = D^{(0,-4)} D^{(0,4)} \; . \tag{3.44}$$

Using this decomposition and Eqs.(3.24,3.28) and (3.43) we can construct an equivalent form of the effective action in the r-analytic superspace

$$S_3 = \int d^3x D^{(0,-4)} dv [W^{(0,2)}]^2 F_3[W^{(0,2)},\ v^{(0,\pm1)}] \; . \tag{3.45}$$

It should be underlined that this action with the gauge-invariant analytic Lagrangian can be generalized to the case of non-Abelian SYM theory.

Let us consider now the set of l-analytic prepotentials $V^{(2,0)}_{lB}$ in the $[U(1)]^p$ gauge theory and the corresponding r-analytic superfields $W^{(0,2)}_{B}(V^{(2,0)}_{lB})$. The effective action of this theory in the r-analytic superspace is

$$S^p_3 = \int d^3x D^{(0,-4)} dv \sum_{B,C=1}^{p} W^{(0,2)}_{B} W^{(0,2)}_{C} F^3_{BC}[W^{(0,2)}_{A},\ v^{(0,\pm1)}] \; , \tag{3.46}$$

where F_{BC} are real $q{=}(0,0)$ functions of the superfields $W^{(0,2)}_{1}, \ldots W^{(0,2)}_{p}$ and v-harmonics. The corresponding effective action in the full superspace contains the matrix superpotential of the $[U(1)]^p$ gauge theory

$$S^p_3 = \sum_{B,C=1}^{p} \int d^3x\, d^8\theta\, du\, dv\, V^{(2,0)}_{lB} V^{(-2,0)}_{lC} f^3_{BC}(W^{ab}_{1}, \ldots W^{ab}_{p}) \; , \tag{3.47}$$

$$f^3_{BC}(W^{ab}_{1}, \ldots W^{ab}_{p}) = \int dv F^3_{BC}[W^{(0,2)}_{A},\ v^{(0,\pm)}] \; . \tag{3.48}$$

The v-integral representation of the matrix superpotential satisfies the following conditions:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{cd}_{M}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{cdN}} f^{3}_{BC} \equiv \Delta^{MN} f^{3}_{BC} = 0 \ , \tag{3.49}$$

$$D^{(+2)}_{\alpha\beta} f^{3}_{BC} = 0 \ . \tag{3.50}$$

This can be proved with the help of the harmonic decomposition of the $SU_r(2)$-invariant operator Δ^{MN} by analogy with (3.29)

$$\Delta^{MN} \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,2)}_{M}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,-2)}_{N}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,0)}_{M}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,0)}_{N}} \ . \tag{3.51}$$

These conditions guarantee the gauge invariance of S^{p}_{3} in the full superspace.

The r-forms of the hypermultiplet constraints have been discussed in ref.[23]. Consider the superfield constraints for these hypermultiplets in the framework of BHS

$$D^{(\pm1,1)}_{\alpha} q^{a(0,1)}_{r} = 0 \ , \qquad q^{a(0,1)}_{r} = (q^{(0,1)}_{r}, \bar{q}^{(0,1)}_{r}) \ , \tag{3.52}$$

$$D^{(\pm1,1)}_{\alpha} \omega_r = 0 \ , \qquad D^{(\pm2,0)}_{l}(\omega_r, q^{a(0,1)}_{r}) = 0 \ , \tag{3.53}$$

where $D^{(\pm2,0)}_{l}$ are the l-harmonic derivatives.

These hypermultiplets are dual to each other and also to the r-linear analytic multiplet

$$q^{a(0,1)}_{r} = v^{a(0,1)}\omega_r + v^{a(0,-1)}L^{(0,2)} \ . \tag{3.54}$$

The duality relation between the ω_r and r-linear multiplet is described by the action

$$\int d^3x D^{(0,-4)} dv \{\omega_r [D^{(0,2)}_{r} L^{(0,2)}] + F^{(0,4)}[L^{(0,2)}, \ v^{(0,\pm1)}]\} \ , \tag{3.55}$$

where $F^{(0,4)}$ is an arbitrary r-analytic function.

It is clear that the l-analytic hypermultiplets $q^{(1,0)}_{l}$ and ω_l are dual to the alternative r-version of the vector multiplet which can be described by the r-analytic prepotential $V^{(0,2)}_{r}$.

Thus, the l-analyticity allows us to solve the constraints of the l-vector multiplet and l-hypermultiplets, while the r-analyticity generalizes the holomorphicity and chirality in the HS description of low-energy gauge actions and duality symmetries.

4 Two-dimensional (4,4) harmonic superspaces

The D=2, (4,4)-supersymmetric field theories describe 1-branes probing a background with 5-branes in M-theory [28, 36, 37]. The two-dimensional (4,4) and (4,0) σ-models have been discussed in the field-component formalism and in the framework of the ordinary or harmonic superspaces [25]-[34]. The $2D$ mirror symmetry and the (4,4) gauge theory has been considered in the component formalism and in the (2,2) superspace [35, 36, 37]. We shall study the geometry of this theory in the manifestly covariant harmonic formalism which is convenient for the superfield quantum calculations. Three types of Grassmann analyticities will be used to classify the (4,4) supermultiplets, their interactions and $2D$ duality symmetries.

The maximum automorphism group of the (4,4) superspace is $SO_l(4){\times}SO_r(4)$; however, we shall mainly use the group $R_2{=}SU_c(2){\times}SU_l(2){\times}SU_r(2)$. Let us choose the left and right coordinates in the (4,4) superspace

$$z_l = (y,\ \theta^{i\alpha})\ , \qquad\qquad z_r = (\bar{y},\ \bar{\theta}^{ia})\ , \tag{4.1}$$

where $y = (1/\sqrt{2})(t + x)$ and $\bar{y} = (1/\sqrt{2})(t - x)$ are the light-cone $2D$ coordinates; and the following types of 2-spinor indices are used: $i, k, \ldots$ for $SU_c(2)$; $\alpha, \beta \ldots$ for $SU_l(2)$ and $a, b \ldots$ for $SU_r(2)$, respectively. The $SO(1,1)$ weights of coordinates are (1, 1/2) for z_l and $(-1,\ -1/2)$ for z_r. The algebra of spinor derivatives in this superspace

$$\{D_{k\alpha}, D_{l\beta}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_y\ , \tag{4.2}$$
$$\{\bar{D}_{ka}, \bar{D}_{lb}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ab}\bar{\partial}_y\ , \tag{4.3}$$
$$\{D_{k\alpha}, \bar{D}_{lb}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}Z_{\alpha b} \tag{4.4}$$

contains the central charges $Z_{\alpha b}$.

The CB-geometry of the (4,4) SYM theory is described by the superfield constraints

$$\{\nabla_{k\alpha}, \nabla_{l\beta}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_y\ , \tag{4.5}$$
$$\{\bar{\nabla}_{ka}, \bar{\nabla}_{lb}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ab}\bar{\nabla}_y\ , \tag{4.6}$$
$$\{\nabla_{k\alpha}, \bar{\nabla}_{lb}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}W_{\alpha b}\ , \tag{4.7}$$

where $\nabla_{M}{=}D_{M} + A_{M}$ is the covariant derivative for the corresponding coordinate. The gauge-covariant superfield $W_{\alpha b}$ satisfies the constraints of the (4,4) vector multiplet which are equivalent to the constraints of the so-called twisted multiplet [25, 29].

The authors of refs.[31, 32, 33] have discussed three types of harmonics: $u^{\pm}_i{=}u^{(\pm1,0,0)}_i$ for $SU_c(2)/U_c(1)$; $l^{(0,\pm1,0)}_{\alpha}$ for $SU_l(2)/U_l(1)$; and $r^{(0,0,\pm1)}_a$ for $SU_r(2)/U_r(1)$. We use the notation with 3 charges in the triharmonic superspace (THS) and the standard notation in the c-harmonic superspace HS_c. The basic geometric structures of the gauge theory are mainly connected with the c-harmonics $u^{\pm}_i$ and the corresponding analytic coordinates

$$\zeta_c = (y_c,\ \theta^{\alpha+}),\ \theta^{\alpha-}\ , \qquad \bar{\zeta}_c = (\bar{y}_c,\ \bar{\theta}^{a+}),\ \bar{\theta}^{a-}. \tag{4.8}$$

The HS_c spinor derivatives and harmonic derivatives have the following form in the case of vanishing central charges:

$$D^{+}_{\alpha} = \partial^{+}_{\alpha}\ , \qquad D^{-}_{\beta} = -\partial^{-}_{\alpha} - i\theta^{-}_{\alpha}\partial^{c}_{y}\ , \tag{4.9}$$
$$\bar{D}^{+}_{a} = \partial^{+}_{a}\ , \qquad \bar{D}^{-}_{a} = -\bar{\partial}^{-}_{a} - i\bar{\theta}^{-}_{a}\bar{\partial}^{c}_{y}\ , \tag{4.10}$$
$$D^{++}_{c} = \partial^{++}_{c} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{+\alpha}\theta^{+}_{\alpha}\partial^{c}_{y} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\theta}^{a+}\bar{\theta}^{+}_{a}\bar{\partial}^{c}_{y} + \theta^{\alpha+}\partial^{+}_{\alpha} + \bar{\theta}^{a+}\partial^{+}_{a}\ . \tag{4.11}$$

The basic combinations of the spinor derivatives are

$$(D^{\pm})^2 = \frac{1}{2}D^{\pm\alpha}D^{\pm}_{\alpha}\ , \quad (\bar{D}^{\pm})^2 = \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{a\pm}\bar{D}^{\pm}_{a}\ , \quad (D^{\pm})^4 = (D^{\pm})^2(\bar{D}^{\pm})^2\ . \tag{4.12}$$

The c-harmonic projections of the constraints (4.5-4.7) are equivalent to the integrability conditions of the c-analyticity by analogy with the $D{\geq}3$, $\mathcal{N}{=}8$ theories

$$\{\nabla^{+}_{\alpha}, \nabla^{+}_{\beta}\} = \{\nabla^{+}_{\alpha}, \bar{\nabla}^{+}_{b}\} = \{\bar{\nabla}^{+}_{a}, \bar{\nabla}^{+}_{b}\} = 0\ , \tag{4.13}$$

where $\nabla^+_\alpha = u^+_i \nabla^i_\alpha$ and $\bar{\nabla}^+_a = u^+_i \bar{\nabla}^i_a$.

The prepotential of the (4,4) gauge theory in HS_c is the c-analytic harmonic connection $V^{++}_c(\zeta_c\,, \bar{\zeta}_c,\ u) \equiv V^{(2,0,0)}_c$ which determines the second harmonic connection $V^{--}_c \equiv V^{(-2,0,0)}_c$. The WZ gauge for this prepotential has the following form:

$$(V^{++}_c)_{WZ} = \theta^{\alpha+}\bar{\theta}^{b+}\Phi_{\alpha b}(y_c\,, \bar{y}_c) + (\theta^+)^2 \bar{A}(y_c\,, \bar{y}_c) + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 A(y_c\,, \bar{y}_c)$$
$$+(\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^{\alpha+}u^-_i\lambda^i_\alpha(y_c\,, \bar{y}_c) + (\theta^+)^2\bar{\theta}^{+a}u^-_i\bar{\lambda}^i_a(y_c\,, \bar{y}_c) + i(\theta^+)^2(\bar{\theta}^+)^2u^-_k u^-_j X^{kj}(y_c\,, \bar{y}_c) \quad (4.14)$$

where the components of the $2D$ vector multiplet are defined.

The gauge-covariant Abelian superfield strength can be constructed by analogy with D=3

$$W_{\alpha b} \equiv (\sigma^m)_{\alpha b} W_m = -iD^+_\alpha \bar{D}^+_b V^{--}_c \quad (4.15)$$

where $(\sigma^m)_{\alpha b}$ are the Weyl matrices for $SU_l(2)\times SU_r(2)$ and W_m is the 4-vector representation of this superfield.

The Abelian superfield $W_{\alpha b}$ does not depend on harmonics, and the constraints for this superfield are

$$D^+_\alpha W_{\beta b} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} D^{+\rho} W_{\rho b}\ , \qquad \bar{D}^+_a W_{\alpha b} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}\bar{D}^{+c} W_{\alpha c}\ . \quad (4.16)$$

We shall use also the following consequences of these relations:

$$(D^+)^2 W_{\alpha a} = (\bar{D}^+)^2 W_{\alpha a} = 0\ . \quad (4.17)$$

The c-analytic (4,4) hypermultiplets q^+_c and ω_c have the minimal interactions with V^{++}_c. The corresponding HS Feynmann rules can be formulated by analogy with ref. [2]. The HS perturbative methods can be useful in the analysis of the vector-hypermultiplet Matrix models with (8,8) supersymmetry, however, we shall discuss here the general symmetry framework for such calculations.

The universal harmonic construction of the $U(1)$ effective action with 8 supercharges has the following form in the case D=2:

$$S_2 = \int d^2x d^8\theta du V^{++}_c V^{--}_c f_2(W_m)\ , \quad (4.18)$$

where $d^2x = dtdx \equiv dyd\bar{y}$. The gauge invariance imposes the following constraints:

$$(D^+)^2 f_2(W_m) = (\bar{D}^+)^2 f_2(W_m) = 0\ . \quad (4.19)$$

Using the Eqs.(4.17) one can prove that the (4,4) superpotential satisfies the $4D$ Laplace equation

$$\Delta^w_4 f_2(W_m) = 0\ , \qquad \Delta^w_4 = \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_m}\Big)^2\ . \quad (4.20)$$

The analogous $4D$ Laplace equation in the (4,4) σ-models has been discussed, for instance, in refs.[28, 34].

The R_2-invariant solution of this equation determines uniquely the exact superpotential of the (4,4) gauge theory

$$f^R_2(w_2) = g^{-2}_2 + k_2 w^{-2}_2\ , \quad w_2 = \sqrt{W^{\alpha a}W_{\alpha a}}\ . \quad (4.21)$$

The same function of the (2,2) superfields generates the R_2-invariant Kähler potential of the D=2, $(4,4)$ gauge theory [36]. Note that the Kähler potential of the (2,2) formalism is gauge-invariant by definition, and the $4D$ Laplace equation arises in this approach from the restrictions of the (4,4) supersymmetry; while in our formulation the analogous condition on the (4,4) superpotential (4.20) follows from the gauge invariance. The manifestly (4,4) covariant formalism of the harmonic gauge theory simplifies the proof of the non-renormalization theorem.

The THS projections of the $2D$ spinor derivatives are

$$D^{(\pm1,\pm1,0)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} l_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} D^{\alpha i} \ , \qquad \bar{D}^{(\pm1,0,\pm1)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} r_a^{(0,0,\pm1)} \bar{D}^{ai} \ . \tag{4.22}$$

The rl-version of the c-vector multiplet (4.15) has the following form:

$$W^{(0,1,1)} = -iD^{(1,1,0)}\bar{D}^{(1,0,1)}V_c^{(-2,0,0)} = -i\int du D^{(-1,1,0)}\bar{D}^{(-1,0,1)}V_c^{(2,0,0)} \ . \tag{4.23}$$

By construction, this superfield satisfies the conditions of the rl-analyticity

$$D^{(\pm1,1,0)}W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \ , \qquad \bar{D}^{(\pm1,0,1)}W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \tag{4.24}$$

and the harmonic conditions

$$D_c^{(\pm2,0,0)}W^{(0,1,1)} = D_l^{(0,2,0)}W^{(0,1,1)} = D_r^{(0,0,2)}W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \ . \tag{4.25}$$

The analogous constraints on the rl-harmonic superfield $q^{(1,1)}$ have been considered in ref.[31] (this notation does not indicate the $U_c(1)$ charge). Note that the vector multiplet (4.23) contains the field-strength of the $2D$ vector field instead of the auxiliary scalar component in the superfield $q^{(1,1)}$.

The c-analyticity become manifest in the coordinates (4.8). Let us consider the analogous rl-analytic coordinates which help to solve the conditions (4.24)

$$\zeta_l = (y_l, \theta^{(\pm1,1,0)}) \ , \qquad \theta^{(\pm1,\pm1,0)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} l_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} \theta^{i\alpha} \ , \tag{4.26}$$

$$y_l = y + \frac{i}{2}[\theta^{(1,-1,0)}\theta^{(-1,1,0)} - \theta^{(-1,-1,0)}\theta^{(1,1,0)}] \ , \tag{4.27}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_r = (\bar{y}_r, \bar{\theta}^{(\pm1,0,1)}) \ , \qquad \bar{\theta}^{(\pm1,0,\pm1)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} r_a^{(0,0,\pm1)} \bar{\theta}^{ia} \ , \tag{4.28}$$

$$y_r = y + \frac{i}{2}[\bar{\theta}^{(1,0,-1)}\bar{\theta}^{(-1,0,1)} - \bar{\theta}^{(-1,0,-1)}\bar{\theta}^{(1,0,1)}] \ . \tag{4.29}$$

The spinor and harmonic derivatives have the following form in these coordinates:

$$D^{(\pm1,1,0)} = \pm\partial^{(\pm1,1,0)} \ , \qquad D^{(\pm1,-1,0)} = \mp\partial^{(\pm1,-1,0)} + i\theta^{(\pm1,-1,0)}\partial_y^l \ , \tag{4.30}$$

$$\bar{D}^{(\pm1,0,1)} = \pm\bar{\partial}^{(\pm1,0,1)} \ , \qquad \bar{D}^{(\pm1,0,-1)} = \mp\bar{\partial}^{(\pm1,0,-1)} + i\bar{\theta}^{(\pm1,-1,0)}\bar{\partial}_y^r \ , \tag{4.31}$$

$$D_l^{(0,2,0)} = \partial_l^{(0,2,0)} + i\theta^{(1,1,0)}\theta^{(-1,1,0)}\partial_y^l + \theta^{(1,1,0)}\partial^{(-1,1,0)} + \theta^{(-1,1,0)}\partial^{(1,1,0)} \ , \tag{4.32}$$

$$D_r^{(0,0,2)} = \partial_r^{(0,0,2)} + i\bar{\theta}^{(1,0,1)}\bar{\theta}^{(-1,0,1)}\bar{\partial}_y^r + \bar{\theta}^{(1,0,1)}\bar{\partial}^{(-1,0,1)} + \bar{\theta}^{(-1,0,1)}\bar{\partial}^{(1,0,1)} \ . \tag{4.33}$$

The c-analytic coordinates in the THS notation are

$$\zeta_c = (y_c, \theta^{(1,\pm1,0)}) \ , \qquad y_c = y + \frac{i}{2}[\theta^{(-1,1,0)}\theta^{(1,-1,0)} + \theta^{(1,1,0)}\theta^{(-1,-1,0)}] \ , \tag{4.34}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_c = (\bar{y}_c, \bar{\theta}^{(1,0,\pm1)}) \ , \qquad \bar{y}_c = \bar{y} + \frac{i}{2}[\bar{\theta}^{(-1,0,1)}\bar{\theta}^{(1,0,-1)} + \bar{\theta}^{(1,0,1)}\bar{\theta}^{(-1,0,-1)}] \ . \tag{4.35}$$

It is important that all coordinates $\zeta_c, \bar{\zeta}_c, \zeta_l$ and $\bar{\zeta}_r$ are separately real with respect to the corresponding conjugation. Of course, all these sets of coordinates are irreducible with respect to the supersymmetry transformations.

The solution of the $4D$ Laplace equation (4.20) has the simple harmonic representation

$$f_2(W_{\alpha a}) = \int dldrF_2[W^{(0,1,1)},\ l,\ r]\ , \tag{4.36}$$

where F_2 is the real function and $W^{(0,1,1)} = l^{(0,1,0)}_\alpha r^{(0,0,1)}_a W^{\alpha a}$ (4.23). The proof is based on the THS decomposition of the $4D$ Laplace operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{\alpha b}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{\alpha b}} \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,1,1)}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,-1,-1)}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,1,-1)}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(0,-1,1)}}\ . \tag{4.37}$$

Note that the formal change of the density in (4.36)

$$F_2[W^{(0,1,1)},\ l,\ r]\ \rightarrow\ F'[W^{(0,1,1)}, W^{(0,1,-1)},\ l,\ r] \tag{4.38}$$

does not produce more general superpotentials. This can be easily shown for the polynomial solutions of Eq.(4.20).

Consider the THS decomposition of the Grassmann measure

$$d^8\theta = D^{(0,-2,-2)}D^{(0,2,2)}\ , \tag{4.39}$$

$$D^{(0,\pm2,\pm2)} = D^{(1,\pm1,0)}D^{(-1,\pm1,0)}\bar{D}^{(1,0,\pm1)}\bar{D}^{(-1,0,\pm1)}\ . \tag{4.40}$$

Using this decomposition and Eqs.(4.19,4.23) we can obtain the following equivalent representation of the effective (4,4) action in the rl-analytic superspace:

$$S_2 = \int dldrd^2xD^{(0,-2,-2)}[W^{(0,1,1)}]^2F_2[W^{(0,1,1)},\ l,\ r]\ . \tag{4.41}$$

One can construct the effective (4,4) action for the gauge group $[U(1)]^p$ in the rl-analytic and full superspaces by analogy with the case D=3 (3.46,3.47).

An analogous action of the $q^{(1,1)}$ multiplet and dual superfields $\omega^{(\pm1,\mp1)}$ has been considered in refs.[31, 32, 33]. The relation between the c-analytic gauge superfield and rl-analytic hypermultiplets is a specific manifestation of the $2D$ mirror symmetry [35]. Consider the rl-analytic superfield $Q^{(0,1,1)}$ in our notation. The r- and l-harmonic constraints (4.25) can be introduced via the rl-analytic Lagrange multipliers

$$\begin{aligned} S(Q,\omega) = \int dldrd^2xD^{(0,-2,-2)}[&F^{(0,2,2)}(Q^{(0,1,1)},\ r,\ l) \\ &+\omega^{(0,1,-1)}D^{(0,2,0)}_l Q^{(0,1,1)} + \omega^{(0,-1,1)}D^{(0,0,2)}_r Q^{(0,1,1)}]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

The triharmonic superspace is convenient for the classification of the (4,4) supermultiplets. Let us consider, for instance, the cr-analytic superfield $Q^{(1,0,1)}_{cr}(\zeta_c, \bar{\zeta}_r, u, r)$ satisfying the subsidiary harmonic conditions

$$D^{(2,0,0)}_c Q^{(1,0,1)}_{cr} = 0\ , \qquad D^{(0,0,2)}_r Q^{(1,0,1)}_{cr} = 0\ , \tag{4.43}$$

where the analytic coordinates (4.34) and (4.29) are used. The cl-analytic superfield $Q^{(1,1,0)}_{cl}(\bar{\zeta}_c, \zeta_l, u, l)$ can be defined analogously.

Thus, the alternative HS structures and their embedding to the general triharmonic superspace are natural for the off-shell geometric description of the (4,4) supersymmetric theories.

5 One-dimensional harmonic superspaces

The one-dimensional σ-models have been considered in the component formalism and also in the framework of the superspaces with $\mathcal{N}$=1, 2 and 4 [39, 38, 40]. Recently, the $\mathcal{N}$=4 superspace has been used for the proof of the non-renormalization theorem in the $\mathcal{N}$=8 gauge theory [41]. This quantum-mechanical model describes $D0$-probes moving in different $D4$-brane backgrounds.

It is interesting to study these models in the framework of the manifestly supersymmetric harmonic approach. We shall consider the D=1, $\mathcal{N}$=8 superspace which is based on the maximum automorphism group R_1=$SU_c(2)\times Spin(5)$ and has coordinates z=$(t,\ \theta^\alpha_i)$ ($i, k, l\ldots$ are the 2-spinor indices and $\alpha, \beta, \rho\ldots$ are the 4-spinor indices of the group $Spin(5)$=$USp(4)$). The algebra of spinor derivatives is

$$\{D^k_\alpha, D^l_\rho\} = i\varepsilon^{kl}\Omega_{\alpha\rho}\partial_t + i\varepsilon^{kl}Z_{\alpha\rho}\ , \tag{5.1}$$

where $Z_{\alpha\rho}$ are central charges and $\Omega_{\alpha\rho}$ is the antisymmetric $Spin(5)$ metric.

Conjugation rules in the group $Spin(5)$ differ from the corresponding rules in $Spin(4,1)$ (2.8)

$$\overline{\theta^\alpha_i} = \theta^i_\alpha\ ,\quad \overline{\Omega_{\alpha\rho}} = -\Omega^{\alpha\rho}\ ,\quad \overline{Z_{\alpha\rho}} = Z^{\alpha\rho}\ . \tag{5.2}$$

The CB-geometric superfield constraints of the $\mathcal{N}$=8 SYM theory are

$$\{\nabla^k_\alpha, \nabla^l_\rho\} = i\varepsilon^{kl}\Omega_{\alpha\rho}(\partial_t + A_t) + i\varepsilon^{kl}W_{\alpha\rho}\ , \tag{5.3}$$

where a traceless bispinor (or 5-vector) superfield representation of the $1D$ vector multiplet $W_{\alpha\rho}(z)$ is defined.

The harmonics $u^\pm_i$ can be used for a construction of the D=1 c-analytic coordinates ζ_c=$(t_c,\ \theta^{+\alpha})$

$$t_c = t + \frac{i}{2}\theta^\alpha_k\theta_{l\alpha}u^{k+}u^{l-}\ ,\qquad \theta^{+\alpha} = u^+_k\theta^{k\alpha}\ . \tag{5.4}$$

The algebra of the c-harmonized $1D$ spinor derivatives resembles the corresponding algebra of the $5D$ derivatives (2.13-2.15) with $Spin(5)$ indices instead of the $Spin(4,1)$ indices. In the case of vanishing central charges we have

$$D^+_\alpha = \partial^+_\alpha\ ,\qquad D^-_\alpha = -\partial^-_\alpha + i\theta^-_\alpha\partial^c_t\ , \tag{5.5}$$

$$D^{++}_c = \partial^{++}_c - \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha+}\theta^+_\alpha\partial^c_t + \theta^{\alpha+}\partial^+_\alpha\ . \tag{5.6}$$

The constraints (5.3) correspond to the integrability conditions of the c-analyticity

$$\{\nabla^+_\alpha, \nabla^+_\gamma\} = 0\ ,\qquad \nabla^+_\alpha = u^+_i\nabla^i_\alpha\ . \tag{5.7}$$

The c-analytic prepotential $V^{++}_c(\zeta_c, u)$ describes the $1D$ vector multiplet (or 8+8 σ-model) and contains the pure gauge one-dimensional field A

$$\begin{aligned}(V^{++}_c)_{WZ} &= \Theta^{(+2)}A(t_c) + \Theta^{(+2)\alpha\rho}\Phi_{\alpha\rho}(t_c)\\ &+\Theta^{(+2)}\theta^{\alpha+}u^-_k\lambda^k_\alpha(t_c) + i[\Theta^{(+2)}]^2u^-_k u^-_j X^{kj}(t_c)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where the notation (2.18) is used. Of course, one can use the subsidiary gauge condition $A(t_c)$=0.

The basic superfield in the D=1, $\mathcal{N}$=8 Abelian gauge theory has the following form:

$$W_{\alpha\rho} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{\mathbf{m}})_{\alpha\rho}W_{\mathbf{m}} = -iD^{(+2)}_{\alpha\rho}V^{--} \ , \qquad \Omega^{\alpha\rho}W_{\alpha\rho} = 0 \ , \tag{5.9}$$

where the Γ matrices of $Spin(5)$ are introduced.

The constraints for this superfield are satisfied by construction

$$D^{+}_{\alpha}W_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{2}{5}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}D^{+\sigma}W_{\sigma\gamma} - \frac{2}{5}\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}D^{+\sigma}W_{\sigma\beta} + \frac{1}{5}\Omega_{\beta\gamma}D^{+\sigma}W_{\sigma\alpha} \ , \tag{5.10}$$

$$D^{(+2)}W_{\alpha\rho} = 0 \ , \qquad D^{\pm\pm}W_{\alpha\rho} = 0 \ . \tag{5.11}$$

These constraints are equivalent to the conditions of different (twisted) chiralities for the superfields W_{13}, W_{14}, W_{23} and W_{24} , e.g.

$$D^{\pm}_{1}W_{13} = D^{\pm}_{3}W_{13} = 0 \ , \qquad D^{\pm}_{1}W_{14} = D^{\pm}_{4}W_{14} = 0 \ . \tag{5.12}$$

The c-analytic hypermultiplets $q^{+}(\zeta_c, u)$ and $\omega_c(\zeta_c, u)$ can be introduced by analogy with HS of higher dimensions. These superfields have the R_1-invariant minimal interactions with the prepotential V^{++}_{c}. We do not consider here the HS perturbative analysis of this model which can describe the $D0$-$D4$ interactions in Matrix theory and restrict ourselves to the study of a general symmetry framework for these calculations.

The D=1 low-energy $U(1)$-gauge action has the following universal form:

$$S_1 = \int dt d^8\theta du \ V^{++}_{c}V^{--}_{c} \ f_1(W_{\mathbf{m}}) \ . \tag{5.13}$$

Using the constraint (5.10) one can prove that the gauge invariance of S_1 is equivalent to the $5D$ Laplace equation for the superpotential

$$D^{(+2)} f_1(W_{\mathbf{m}}) = 0 \ \rightarrow \ \Delta^{w}_{5} f_1(W_{\mathbf{m}}) = 0 \ . \tag{5.14}$$

The R_1-invariant D=1 superpotential

$$f^{R}_{1}(w_1) = g^{-2}_{1} + k_1 w^{-3}_{1} \ , \qquad w_1 = (W^{\rho\sigma}W_{\rho\sigma})^{1/2} \tag{5.15}$$

is the unique solution of this equation. The non-renormalizability of this superpotential is protected by the $Spin(5)$-invariance and the $\mathcal{N}$=8 supersymmetry. Note that the same function determines the Kähler potential of the D=1 gauge theory in the $\mathcal{N}$=4 superfield formalism [41].

By analogy with the cases D=2 and 3, the geometric description of the D=1, $\mathcal{N}$=8 models requires the use of harmonic variables for the whole group $SU(2)\times Spin(5)$. Let us introduce now the biharmonic $1D$-superspace using the $SU_c(2)$ harmonics $u^{(\pm1,0,0)}_{i}$=$u^{\pm}_{i}$ and harmonics $v^{(0,\pm1,0)}_{\alpha}$, $v^{(0,0,\pm1)}_{\alpha}$ of the group $USp(4)$ [42]. The basic relations for the v-harmonics are

$$\Omega^{\alpha\rho}v^{(0,a,0)}_{\alpha}v^{(0,-b,0)}_{\rho} = \delta^{ab} \ , \tag{5.16}$$

$$\Omega^{\alpha\rho}v^{(0,0,a)}_{\alpha}v^{(0,0,-b)}_{\rho} = \delta^{ab} \ , \ , \tag{5.17}$$

$$\Omega^{\alpha\rho}v^{(0,a,0)}_{\alpha}v^{(0,0,b)}_{\rho} = 0 \ . \tag{5.18}$$

where $a, b = \pm 1$ and δ_{ab} is the Kronecker symbol. These harmonics determine the 8-dimensional coset space $H_8 = USp(4)/U(1)\times U(1)$.

The harmonic derivatives $D_v^{(0,\pm2,0)}$, $D_v^{(0,0,\pm2)}$ and $D_v^{(0,\pm1,\pm1)}$ are defined in ref.[42]

$$D_v^{(0,\pm2,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,\mp1,0)} = v_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} \;, \qquad D_v^{(0,0,\pm2)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,\mp1)} = v_\alpha^{(0,0,\pm1)} \;, \tag{5.19}$$

$$D_v^{(0,\pm1,\pm1)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,\mp1)} = v_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} \;, \qquad D_v^{(0,\pm1,\pm1)} v_\alpha^{(0,\mp1),0} = v_\alpha^{(0,0,\pm1)} \;, \tag{5.20}$$

$$D_v^{(0,\pm2,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} = D_v^{(0,\pm2,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,\pm1)} = D_v^{(0,0,\pm2)} v_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} = D_v^{(0,0,\pm2)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,\pm1)} = 0 \;, \tag{5.21}$$

$$D_v^{(0,\pm1,\pm1)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,\pm1)} = D_v^{(0,\pm1,\pm1)} v_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} = 0 \;. \tag{5.22}$$

The algebra of harmonic derivatives on H_8 contains also the $U(1)$-charges D^0_{v2} and D^0_{v3}. The harmonic derivatives on the coset $SU_c(2)/U_c(1)$ are $D_c^{(\pm2,0,0)}$ and D_c^0.

The v-harmonic representation of the general $1D$ superpotential (5.14) is

$$f_1(W_{\alpha\rho}) = \int dv F_1[W^{(0,1,1)},\; v_\alpha] \;, \tag{5.23}$$

$$W^{(0,1,1)} = v_\alpha^{(0,1,0)} v_\rho^{(0,0,1)} W^{\alpha\rho} \;. \tag{5.24}$$

where the real function F_1 of the single harmonic projection $W^{(0,1,1)}$ and all components of the v-harmonics determines the general solution of the $5D$ Laplace equation. The proof is based on the v-harmonic decomposition of the operator Δ^w_5 using the v-harmonic completeness relation

$$\Omega_{\alpha\rho} = v_\alpha^{(0,-1,0)} v_\rho^{(0,1,0)} + v_\alpha^{(0,0,-1)} v_\rho^{(0,0,1)} - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \rho) \;. \tag{5.25}$$

Partial solutions can contain the restricted density functions F_1 of some harmonic components $v_1 \ldots$ and correspond , for instance, to the holomorphic functions of W_{13} and/or W_{14}.

The BHS spinor derivatives are

$$D^{(\pm1,\pm1,0)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)} D^{i\alpha} \;, \qquad D^{(\pm1,0,\pm1)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,\pm1)} D^{i\alpha} \;. \tag{5.26}$$

The v-projection (5.24) of the basic gauge superfield (5.9) can be written in terms of the c-harmonic connections

$$W^{(0,1,1)} = -iD^{(1,1,0)} D^{(1,0,1)} V_c^{(-2,0,0)} = -i \int du D^{(-1,1,0)} D^{(-1,0,1)} V_c^{(2,0,0)} \;. \tag{5.27}$$

By construction, this superfield is v-analytic

$$D^{(\pm1,1,0)} W^{(0,1,1)} = 0, \qquad D^{(\pm1,0,1)} W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \tag{5.28}$$

and also satisfies the harmonic constraints

$$D_c^{(\pm2,0,0)} W^{(0,1,1)} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_v^A W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \;, \tag{5.29}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_v^A$ is the triplet of harmonic derivatives conserving the v-analyticity (5.28)

$$\mathcal{D}_v^A = (D_v^{(0,1,1)}, D_v^{(0,2,0)}, D_v^{(0,0,2)}) \;, \tag{5.30}$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_A, D^{(\pm1,1,0)}] = [\mathcal{D}_A, D^{(\pm1,0,1)}] = 0 \;. \tag{5.31}$$

The v-analytic coordinates $\zeta_v=(t_v\,,\theta^{(\pm1,1,0)},\theta^{(\pm1,0,1)})$ can be defined by analogy with (5.4)

$$t_v = t + \tfrac{i}{2}[\theta^{(-1,-1,0)}\theta^{(1,1,0)} - \theta^{(1,-1,0)}\theta^{(-1,1,0)} - \theta^{(1,0,-1)}\theta^{(-1,0,1)} + \theta^{(-1,0,-1)}\theta^{(1,0,1)}]\ , \qquad (5.32)$$

$$\theta^{(\pm1,\pm1,0)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,\pm1,0)}\theta^{i\alpha}\ , \qquad \theta^{(\pm1,0,\pm1)} = u_i^{(\pm1,0,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,\pm1)}\theta^{i\alpha}\ . \qquad (5.33)$$

These coordinates are convenient for the v-analytic superfields.

Using Eqs.(5.27,5.23) we can obtain the v-analytic representation of the $1D$ effective action (5.13)

$$S_1 = \int dv dt_v D^{(0,-2,-2)}[W^{(0,1,1)}(\zeta_v)]^2 F_1[W^{(0,1,1)}(\zeta_v),\ v_\alpha]\ , \qquad (5.34)$$

where the corresponding Grassmann measure is

$$D^{(0,-2,-2)} = D^{(1,-1,0)}D^{(-1,-1,0)}D^{(1,0,-1)}D^{(-1,0,-1)}\ . \qquad (5.35)$$

The invariant effective action for an arbitrary gauge group can be constructed immediately in the v-analytic superspace. The v-integral representation of the matrix superpotential for the gauge group $[U(1)]^p$ in the full superspace satisfies the following conditions:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W_M^{\gamma\sigma}}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{\gamma\sigma N}} f^1_{BC}(W_1^{\alpha\rho},\ldots W_p^{\alpha\rho}) = 0\ , \qquad D^{(+2)} f^1_{BC} = 0\ . \qquad (5.36)$$

The proof is analogous to the proof of the relations (3.50) in the case D=3.

The duality for the $\mathcal{N}$=8 vector multiplet can be formulated in the v-analytic superspace. It is not difficult to define the triplet of v-analytic superfields which is dual to the superfield $W^{(0,1,1)}$

$$\omega_v = (\omega_v^{(0,1,-1)}, \omega_v^{(0,-1,1)}, \omega_v^{(0,0,0)})\ , \qquad (5.37)$$

$$D^{(\pm1,1,0)}\omega_v = D^{(\pm1,0,1)}\omega_v = D_c^{(\pm2,0,0)}\omega_v = 0\ . \qquad (5.38)$$

These superfields have an infinite number of auxiliary components.

The interpolating term for the duality relation has the following form:

$$\int dv dt D^{(0,-2,-2)}[W^{(0,1,1)}D_v^{(0,1,1)}\omega_v^{(0,0,0)} + W^{(0,1,1)}D_v^{(0,2,0)}\omega_v^{(0,-1,1)} + W^{(0,1,1)}D_v^{(0,0,2)}\omega_v^{(0,1,-1)}]\ , \qquad (5.39)$$

where $W^{(0,1,1)}$ is treated as an unrestricted v-analytic superfield.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to E.A. Ivanov for the stimulating discussions. This work is partially supported by grants RFBR-96-02-17634, RFBR-DFG-96-02-00180, INTAS-93-127-ext and INTAS-96-0308, and by grant of Uzbek Foundation of Basic Research N 11/97.

Note added in the replaced version

In this new e-print version, I have corrected the formulas (2.26-2.29) in connection with the recent results on the component non-Abelian superconformal-invariant $5D$ action:
T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 105 (2001) 323; hep-ph/0010288.

References

[1] A. Galperin , E. Ivanov , S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, Unconstrained N=2 matter, Yang-Mills and supergravity theories in harmonic superspace. Class. Quant. Grav. 1 (1984) 469.

[2] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, Harmonic supergraphs. Class. Quant. Grav. 2 (1985) 601.

[3] B.M. Zupnik. Six-dimensional supergauge theories in harmonic superspace. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44 (1986) 512.

[4] P.S. Howe, K.S. Stelle and P.C. West, N=1 D=6 harmonic superspaces. Class. Quant. Grav. 2 (1985) 815.

[5] B.M. Zupnik, The action of the supersymmetric N=2 gauge theory in harmonic superspace. Phys. Lett. B 183 (1987) 175.

[6] N.Seiberg and E.Witten, Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. Nucl.Phys., B 426 (1994) 19.

[7] I.L. Buchbinder, I.L. Buchbinder, E.A. Ivanov, S.M. Kuzenko and B.A. Ovrut, Effective action of the N=2 Maxwell multiplet in harmonic superspace. Phys. Lett. B 412 (1997) 309.

[8] E.A. Ivanov , S.V. Ketov and B.M. Zupnik, Induced hypermultiplet self-interactions in N=2 gauge theories. Nucl. Phys. B 509 (1998) 53.

[9] E.A. Ivanov and B.M. Zupnik, Modified N=2 supersymmetry and Fayet-Iliopoulos terms. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 62, n.6 (1999) (in press); hep-th/9710236.

[10] B.M. Zupnik, Constrained superpotentials in harmonic gauge theories with 8 supercharges; hep-th/9812249.

[11] P.S. Howe and M.I. Leeming, Harmonic superspaces in low dimensions. Class. Quant. Grav. 11 (1994) 2843.

[12] N. Seiberg, Five dimensional SUSY field theories, non-trivial fixed points and string dynamics. Phys. Lett. B 388 (1996) 753.

[13] K. Intriligator, D.R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, Five-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories and degeneration of Calabi-Yau spaces. Nucl. Phys. B 497 (1997) 56 .

[14] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, Mirror symmetry in three-dimensional gauge theory. Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 513.

[15] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Gauge dynamics and compactification to three dimensions. In: The Mathematical Beauty of Physics, eds. J.M. Drouffe and J.B. Zuber. (World Scientific, Singapore) p. 333, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.

[16] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, K. Intriligator, N. Seiberg and M.J. Strassler, Aspects of $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories in three dimensions. Nucl. Phys. B 499 (1997) 67.

[17] J.F. Schonfeld, A mass term for three-dimensional gauge fields. Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) 157.

[18] S.J. Gates, M.T. Grisaru, M. Roček and W. Siegel, Superspace or one thousand and one lessons in supersymmetry. Benjamin Cummings, Massachusetts, 1983.

[19] B.M. Zupnik and D.G. Pak, Superfield formulation of the simplest three-dimensional gauge theories and conformal supergravities. Theor. Mat. Phys. 77 (1989) 1070.

[20] B.M. Zupnik and D.G. Pak, Differential and integral forms in supergauge theories and supergravity. Class. Quant. Grav. 6 (1989) 723.

[21] R. Brooks and S.J. Gates, Extended supersymmetry and super-BF gauge theories. Nucl. Phys. B 432 (1994) 205.

[22] B.M. Zupnik and D.V. Khetselius, Three-dimensional extended supersymmetry in harmonic superspace. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 47 (1988) 730.

[23] B.M. Zupnik, Harmonic superspaces for three-dimensional theories. hep-th/9804167.

[24] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, A course of modern analysis. Cambridge University Press, 1927.

[25] S.J. Gates, C.M. Hull and M. Roček, Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric σ-models. Nucl. Phys. B 248 (1984) 157.

[26] E. Sokatchev and K.S. Stelle, Finiteness of (4,0) σ-models. Class. Quant. Grav. 4 (1987) 501.

[27] P.S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, Futher remarks on the geometry of two-dimensional non-linear σ-models. Class. Quan. Grav. 5 (1988) 1647.

[28] C.G. Callan, J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Worldsheet approach to heterotic instantons and solitons. Nucl. Phys. B 359 (1991) 611.

[29] O. Gorovoy and E. Ivanov, Superfield actions for $N = 4$ $WZNW$-Liouville systems. Nucl. Phys. B 381 (1992) 394.

[30] S.J. Gates and S.V. Ketov, 2D (4,4) hypermultiplets. Phys. Lett. B 418 (1998) 111, 119.

[31] E. Ivanov and A. Sutulin, Sigma models in (4,4) harmonic superspace. Nucl. Phys. B 432 (1994) 246; Erratum, ibid. 483 (1997) 531.

[32] E. Ivanov and A. Sutulin, Tensor and nonlinear (4,4) supermultiplets in $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ harmonic superspace. Class. Quant. Grav. 14 (1997) 843.

[33] E.A. Ivanov, Off-shell (4,4) supersymmetric sigma models with torsion as gauge theories in harmonic superspace. Phys. Lett. B 356 (1995) 239.

[34] A. Galperin and E. Sokatchev, Supersymmetric σ-models and 't Hooft instantons. Class. Quant. Grav. 13 (1996) 161.

[35] D.R. Morrison and M.R. Plesser, Towards mirror symmetry as duality for two dimensional Abelian gauge theories. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 46 (1996) 177.

[36] D.-E. Diaconescu and N. Seiberg, The Coulomb branch of (4,4) supersymmetric field theories in two dimensions. Jour. High Ener. Phys. 7 (1997) 1.

[37] E. Witten, On the conformal field theory of the Higgs branch. Jour. High Ener. Phys. 7 (1997) 3.

[38] E.A. Ivanov and A.V. Smilga, Supersymmetric gauge quantum mechanics: Superfield description. Phys. Lett. B 257 (1991) 79.

[39] V.P. Berezovoj and A.I. Pashnev, Three-dimensional $N = 4$ extended supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Class. Quant. Grav. 8 (1991) 2141 .

[40] G.W. Gibbons, G. Papadopoulos and K.S. Stelle, HKT and OKT geometries on soliton black hole moduli spaces. Nucl. Phys. B 508 (1997) 623.

[41] D.-E. Diaconescu and R. Entin, A non-renormalization theorem for the d=1, N=8 vector multiplet. Preprint RU-97-50; hep-th/9706059.

[42] E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, Nguen Ai Viet and V. Ogievetsky, Harmonic superspaces of extended supersymmetry. The calculus of harmonic variables. J. Phys. A 18 (1985) 3433.